Energy management strategy to optimise regenerative braking in a hybrid dual-mode locomotive
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Abstract: This study proposes an energy management strategy (EMS) for a dual-mode hybrid locomotive equipped with a fuel cell, supercapacitors, and batteries, and intermittent access to an electrified overhead catenary. It is inspired by the Ragone plot and does not consider information or predictions of future load consumption. It aims to reduce a cost function that considers the cost of hydrogen, the electricity consumed from the network, and the energy sources’ degradation. The EMS focuses on maximising the energy recovered during braking. The study introduces a methodology to tune the EMS parameters. Two study cases are used to evaluate the EMS. In the evaluation driving profile, typical for a French freight train, the braking energy is around 12.8% of the total energy. With the proposed EMS, the energy recovered is around 99.8% of the total braking energy. A second EMS not oriented to reduce the energy in the braking resistor is also evaluated. The energy recovered with this strategy is around 91.5% of the total braking energy. The global energy reduction is around 1.1% compared with the second EMS and 12.8% without energy recovering. These results show a real opportunity to increase the energy recovered during braking.

1 Introduction

A dual-mode locomotive has a common drivetrain that operates on not- and electrified tracks. It allows driving a locomotive in a partially electrified railway. Considering the high cost of the network electrification, this is a good compromise to reduce energy consumption in rail transport [1]. In this regard, fuel cell (FC) locomotives are considered as a great alternative to moving away from locomotives using diesel engines [2–8]. FCs are not reversible and the responsiveness of the gas supply limits their response. Therefore, the time constants as obtained from step responses of electrical power are rather, pointing to a rather low bandwidth and a low-access speed [9]. For these reasons, the FC cannot be considered as a unique source for electrical locomotives. Supercapacitors (SCs) and batteries offer high efficiency and a high speed of access to electrical energy. They are, therefore, an ideal complement to the FC in hybrid electric locomotives [10–13].

Control of FC, and in general, hybrid locomotives is a very complex problem. For the sake of ease, it can be subdivided into two independent problems: to define driving strategies based on optimal speed trajectories and to determine power distribution among the available sources.

Many research studies aim to define optimal speed trajectories using analytical techniques such as Pontryagin’s minimum principle [14–16] or numerical methods such as dynamic programming [17–19]. These techniques require a perfect knowledge of the operating conditions during a mission. This could be true for a great number of locomotives periodically running on the same track according to a strict timetable.

Methodologies to determine optimal train speed profiles are proposed in both theory and applications, but they are complicated and complex to achieve. In real operation conditions, the train may not always follow the planned schedule. It will not arrive at or depart from the station on time because of unpredictable traffic conditions, unplanned stops, unaesthetically driving behaviours, passenger demand variations, infrastructure failures, or even the willingness of the train driver to follow the recommended speed profile [20–22].

As these optimal speed profiles are theoretical but difficult to follow, then real-time operation adjustment is recommended [23]. Therefore, developing on-line algorithms that consider dynamic situations such as traffic in the path ahead may be more applicable for practical purposes [24]. Heuristic solutions such as fuzzy logic [24, 25] or genetic algorithms [26, 27] are often proposed to define the optimal speed trajectory references.

Once the speed profile is determined using the dynamical model of the train, braking and traction force profiles are computed. These are used to compute power profiles on the locomotive power bus. The new challenge consists of defining the power and energy distribution among the available sources. Energy management strategies (EMSs) are designed to determine power and energy distributions among the energy sources for a given bus power profile. The solutions aim to minimise a multi-objective cost function while respecting static and dynamic constraints to limit the power, currents, and the state-of-charge (SOC) of the energy storage sources.

As stated before, in real operation conditions, it is not easy to predict power profiles, and then real-time EMSs are more than desirable. The proposed EMS should guarantee good performances even without knowledge of train speed and power profiles. Rule-based approaches lean on human experience to design EMS algorithms to define the power distribution. Compared with other approaches, these strategies are intuitive and easier to implement. Many research studies propose rule-based methodologies to determine the power distribution in hybrid trains [13, 28–30].

The energy dissipated during train braking can be very high. In some urban rail systems, this value can be up to 50% of the net traction energy [31, 32]. Thus, it is not surprising that one of the most significant opportunities to reduce the energy consumption in trains is to perform an efficient use of energy regenerated during braking. Regenerative braking can be used by other trains, but it is only possible if, at the same time, a train is accelerating and another is braking, then a sophisticated, coordinated train control and time-scheduling is required [33–35]. The braking energy can be supplied to the power system using reversible substations that require a very high investment.

Embedded energy storage sources such as SCs or batteries are used to perform recovery braking. They are a more viable alternative to recover energy during braking. This option is similar to the one used in an application with a high-start/stop frequency such as elevators driven by synchronous machines [36, 37]. These embedded sources present additional advantages such as reduction.
of peak power demand, reduction of energy losses in the catenary, and a certain degree of autonomy for catenary-free services [31].

Most of the works published in energy recovery using embedded sources focus on metro trains and trams, considering their frequent start/stops. Most of these research studies estimate a reduction in total energy consumption around 12–35% when using SC. Simulation results reported in the literature show reduction of 33% for a metro line in Brussels [38], 24% for a metro line in Paris [43]. Moreover, experimental tests performed so far on a light railway vehicle prototype of Bombardier Transportation have highlighted that the energy saved using SCs could be ≥30% [44].

This work aims to solve the problem proposed in the IEEE Vehicular Technology Society (VTS) motor vehicles challenge 2019 – energy management of a dual-mode locomotive [45]. It consists of proposing an EMS for a dual-mode locomotive equipped with a FC, SCs, batteries, and access to an electrified overhead catenary. The solution must minimise a cost function that considers the consumption of the electricity network, the consumption of hydrogen, the lifetime of the FC, and the lifetime of energy sources. The solutions to the challenge must respect an additional constraint: no previous knowledge of the speed or power profile is allowed to compute the power distribution.

This paper proposes an extended version of the research presented in [46], which was the best solution submitted to the IEEE VTS Motor Vehicles Challenge 2019. This EMS has new objectives such as maximising the energy recovered during braking, which can represent an important part of the total energy losses of the train. The paper is organised as follows: Section 2 introduces the global EMS. Then it presents the local EMSs for the FC, batteries, SCs, and DC bus capacitor. Section 3 presents simulation results, and Section 4 presents the conclusions.

2 Model and EMS of the dual-mode locomotive

The considered hybrid locomotive can be powered by a non-reversible DC overhead line through a pantograph or using an onboard FC with batteries and SCs. The sources are connected to the DC bus using power converters. Fig. 1 presents the schematic of the dual-mode locomotive.

The proposed EMS defines current references for the FC system \( i_{FC}(t) \), the battery system \( i_{aux}(t) \), the SC system \( i_{SC}(t) \), and the braking resistor \( i_{br}(t) \). The current supplied by the pantograph \( i_{pant}(t) \), when available, and the current supplied to/by the DC bus capacitor \( i_{bus}(t) \) depend on the DC bus capacitor voltage which can be indirectly controlled. The current consumed by the motor drives \( i_{load}(t) \) and the ancillary \( i_{aux}(t) \) is not known a priori.

The current distribution between the sources and loads, at the DC bus level, respects the balance defined in (1)

\[
i_{FC}(t) + i_{aux}(t) + i_{br}(t) - i_{pant}(t) = i_{SC}(t) + i_{bus}(t) + i_{seg}(t) + i_{br}(t)
\]

2.1 Constraints

The solutions must respect constraints in the sources powers, currents, voltages, and SOC. One additional challenge to consider is that the EMS references are given at the DC bus level, after the power converters, but most of the constraints are given in the terminals of each source before the power converters. These constraints are defined for the voltage and current in the terminals of the FC \( i_{FC}(t) \), \( v_{FC}(t) \), the battery \( i_{aux}(t) \), \( v_{aux}(t) \) and the SC \( i_{SC}(t) \), \( v_{SC}(t) \). The batteries and SCs SOCs \( SOC_{b} \), \( SOC_{sc} \) are also constrained. Additional constraints are given for the power supplied by the power converters \( p_{FC}(t) \), \( p_{SC}(t) \), \( p_{bus}(t) \), and \( p_{aux}(t) \).

The restrictions for a generic source \( k \) and its power converter \( k_s \) are presented below

\[
V_{k_{min}} < v_{k}(t) < V_{k_{max}}
\]

\[
SOC_{k_{min}} < SOC_{k}(t) < SOC_{k_{max}}
\]

\[
i_{k_{min}} < i_{k}(t) < i_{k_{max}}
\]

\[
\frac{di_{k}}{dt}_{\min} < \frac{di_{k}}{dt}(t) < \frac{di_{k}}{dt}_{\max}
\]

\[
P_{k_{min}} < P_{k}(t) < P_{k_{max}}
\]

2.2 Cost function

The viable solutions are evaluated and compared using a multi-objective function \( \epsilon_{\text{use}} \) composed of six cost functions to minimise [1]

(i) The hydrogen consumption \( \epsilon_{H_{2}} \). It depends on the \( H_{2} \) mass flow, which at its time depends on the current supplied by the FC

\[
\epsilon_{H_{2}}(t) = H_{2 \text{-cost}} \int_{0}^{t} m_{H_{2}}(t)dt
\]

with \( m_{H_{2}}(t) \) the hydrogen mass flow (g/s) and \( H_{2 \text{-cost}} \) the hydrogen cost per unit of hydrogen mass (€/kg).

(ii) The FC degradation \( \epsilon_{FC} \). The FC degradation function \( \Delta_{FC}(t) \) depends on the power operation \( p_{FC}(t) \) and the start number \( N_{\text{start}} \) of the FC [47, 48]

\[
\Delta_{FC}(t) = N_{\text{start}} \Delta_{\text{start}}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \delta(t)dt
\]

\[
\delta(t) = \frac{\delta_{s}}{3600} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{P_{FC \text{-rat}}(P_{FC}(t) - P_{FC \text{-rat}})^{2}} \right]
\]

with \( \Delta_{\text{start}}(t) \) the start-stop degradation coefficient, \( \delta_{s} \) and \( \alpha \) load coefficients, and \( P_{FC \text{-rat}} \) the rated power of the FC (W). The operational cost of the FC can then be deduced from \( \Delta_{FC}(t) \)

\[
\epsilon_{FC}(t) = P_{FC \text{-rat}} FC_{\text{cost}} \Delta_{FC}(t)
\]

1. \( i^{th} \) FC cost the FC cost per unit of power (€/kW).

2. \( ii^{th} \) The SC degradation \( \epsilon_{SC} \). The degradation function of the SCs \( \Delta_{SC}(t) \) is calculated by the ratio between the use time \( t_{use} \) and the expected lifetime

\[
\Delta_{SC}(t) = \frac{t_{use}}{30 \text{years}}
\]

3. The operational cost of the SCs can then be deduced from \( \Delta_{SC}(t) \)
\[ \epsilon_{\text{out}}(t) = E_{\text{sc}} \cdot r_{\text{SC}} \cdot \Delta \epsilon_{\text{SC}}(t) \] (12)

1. ith \( E_{\text{sc}} \cdot r_{\text{SC}} \) the rated energy of the SCs (kWh) and \( \Delta \epsilon_{\text{SC}} \) the SCs cost per unit of energy (€/kW).

2. iv) The batteries degradation \( \epsilon_{\text{out}} \). The battery degradation function \( \Delta \epsilon_{\text{b}}(t) \) depends on the SOC with \( f(SOC_{b}) \) and power dynamics with \( g(\dot{SOC}_{b}) \) [49]

\[ \Delta \epsilon_{b}(t) = \frac{1}{3600.15 \cdot 10^{4} \cdot Q_{\text{b}} \cdot \text{r}_{\text{b}} \cdot \int_{t}^{t+1} f(SOC_{b}) \cdot g(\dot{SOC}_{b}) \cdot dt} \] (13)

3. with \( Q_{\text{b}} \cdot \text{r}_{\text{b}} \) and \( \dot{SOC}_{b}(t) \), respectively, the rated capacity (Ah) and the current (A) of the battery. The operational cost of the battery can then be calculated from \( \Delta \epsilon_{b}(t) \)

\[ \epsilon_{b}(t) = E_{b} \cdot r_{\text{b}} \cdot B_{\text{cost}} \cdot \Delta \epsilon_{b}(t) \] (14)

iv) The electricity consumed from the network \( \epsilon_{\text{net}} \)

\[ \epsilon_{\text{net}}(t) = \frac{N_{\text{cost}}}{3600.1.10^{4} \cdot \int_{t}^{t+1} p_{\text{aux}}(t) \cdot dt} \] (15)

1. ith \( p_{\text{aux}}(t) \) the instantaneous power (W) delivered by the DC line of the electricity network and \( N_{\text{cost}} \) the cost of the electricity network per unit of energy (€/MWh), which takes into account the public electricity network tariffs.

2. vi) The battery and SC recharge after a cycle \( \epsilon_{\text{in}} \)

\[ \epsilon_{\text{in}}(t) = \frac{N_{\text{cost}}}{1.10^{4} \cdot \int_{t}^{t+1} \left( \eta_{\text{dc}} \cdot \text{-b- avg} \cdot E_{\text{b}} \cdot \text{-end} + \eta_{\text{dc}} \cdot \text{-sc- avg} \cdot E_{\text{sc}} \cdot \text{-end} \right)} \] (16)

1. ith \( \eta_{\text{dc}} \cdot \text{-b- avg} \) and \( \eta_{\text{dc}} \cdot \text{-sc- avg} \) the average value of the efficiency maps of the boost choppers; and \( E_{\text{b}} \cdot \text{-end} \) and \( E_{\text{sc}} \cdot \text{-end} \) the energy stored of the battery and SCs at the end of the simulation (kWh). The sustainability cost \( \epsilon_{\text{in}} \) can be negative if the final SOC of the SC or batteries is higher than the initial.

2.3 Solution

Considering the characteristics and constraints of each energy source, and inspired on the Ragone plot illustrated in Fig. 2, a rule-based global EMS is proposed and implemented. The FC is the primary energy source embedded in the dual-mode locomotive. Together with the pantograph, when available, they must supply the total energy consumed by the traction system. However, during braking, the FC current is reduced to limit the energy dissipated in the braking resistor. The pantograph is an interesting source in terms of power and energy, but the EMS has to consider its intermittent availability (dual-mode EMS). The batteries are characterised by a good compromise between power and energy. They can be used to provide energy to the load and in regenerative braking. The SC, as the main power source of the locomotive, is used to balance the current balance defined in (1).

The guidelines listed below are proposed to define the EMS rules.

- The FC and pantograph recharge the batteries.
- The batteries regulate the SC SOC.
- The SC regulates the DC bus voltage.
- The braking resistor use must be avoided.

Compared with the previous EMS presented in [46], this one is oriented to reduce the energy consumed in the braking resistor. Two sets of rules are used to reach this objective. The first one rapidly decreases the output power of the FC when braking is detected. The second set of rules allows using the DC bus capacitor to store part of the braking energy. The local EMSs allow achieving the proposed objectives presented in the next subsections.

2.4 FC local EMS

The FC is the primary energy source embedded in the locomotive. As the FC has strong constraints to fast changes in the supplied current, its local EMS does not consider information about the current consumed by the loads or provided by the sources. The degradation is fast accelerated when turning the FC off and on again.

In normal operation, the FC’s only objective is to regulate the batteries SOC. The reference for its current \( I_{\text{ref}} \) will increase with the reduction on the batteries measured SOC \( SOC_{b} \) compared with a static reference \( SOC_{\text{ref}}^{c} \). Here, this reference is constant and considered an optimisation parameter. This SOC regulation is performed by a proportional controller with \( K_{p} \) gain.

The proportional controller is used to define the FC current reference \( I_{\text{ref}} \) considering the error between the batteries SOC \( SOC_{b} \) and its reference \( SOC_{\text{ref}}^{c} \). Fig. 1 illustrates \( I_{\text{ref}} \) for three different values of \( K_{p} \). Here, \( K_{p1} \) is the maximal and \( K_{p2} \) is the minimal feasible value for the controller gain.

A second operation mode is considered when the locomotive is braking fast. This is when a negative acceleration \( \dot{SOC}_{b} \) goes below a predetermined value \( a_{\text{min}} \). In this operation mode, regenerative braking is activated, and the batteries and SC are expected to operate at maximal recharge current. To avoid, or at least reduce, the dissipation of energy in the braking resistor, during strong braking, the FC current reference is fast reduced to a low value \( I_{\text{ref}}^{\text{max}} \).

Additionally, due to the high degradation cost, the EMS must prevent the FC to turn off as possible, and the current reference is then limited by a lower bound \( I_{\text{ref}}^{\text{min}} \). Finally, the FC current must respect the static \( I_{\text{ref}}^{\text{max}} \) and dynamic \( dI_{\text{ref}}^{\text{max}} \) limits defined by the characteristics of the source (Fig. 3).

The FC reference current is computed using Algorithm 1 (Fig. 4).
2.5 Batteries local EMS

The batteries transfer energy from the FC to the SCs. This condition is mandatory to ensure the SC system can operate as the main power source. The batteries do not have the same dynamic limitations as the FC and can be used as a power source and in less degree for regenerative braking. The reference for its current increases if the SC SOC decreases compared with a dynamic reference. This control is performed using a proportional controller with gain and is shown in Fig. 5. Here, is the maximal and is the minimal feasible value for the controller gain.

Several research studies suggest defining a dynamic reference for the SC SOC considering the speed and kinetic energy of the vehicle [28–30, 50]. The reference for the SC SOC depends on the train speed: at maximal speed the SCs are discharged to a minimal SOC: this enables enough place to store the energy recovered by the regenerative braking hence minimising the risk of using the braking resistor. When the locomotive is stopped, the ultracapacitors (UCs) are recharged until a maximal SOC, and this enables enough energy in the SC to support accelerating the train.

A proportional controller is used to define a decreasing function of the train speed, which is directly related to the kinetic energy of the train. This controller is illustrated in Fig. 6. The battery’s local EMS does not consider the power consumed by the load or provided by the other sources. The batteries reference current is computed using Algorithm 2 (Fig. 7).

2.6 SCs local EMS

The SC system balances the currents consumed by the locomotive and provided by the FC system and batteries system. Additionally, the SC system regulates the DC bus voltage level, supplying a regulation current: The algorithm to define this current is presented in the next subsection.

As the SCs balance the currents supplied and consumed by the loads, the SC EMS only uses currents as inputs. Additionally, and compared with the FC and batteries, the UC system reference current is the only reference directly computed at the DC bus level side.

The UC system reference current is computed using Algorithm 3 (Fig. 8).

2.7 Braking resistor local EMS

A braking resistor is implemented in the locomotive. It is modelled as a perfectly controllable current source [1]. The braking resistor use must be minimised. However, during braking, the excess of power that cannot be stored in the SC and batteries should be sent to the braking resistor to avoid overvoltages in the DC bus. This local EMS has no parameters. The braking resistor reference current is computed using Algorithm 4 (Fig. 9).

2.8 DC bus EMS

The DC bus voltage local EMS depends on the presence or not of the pantograph. The main difference between the two operation modes is the reference for the DC bus operation voltage. The DC bus voltage level depends on the energy supplied to/from the DC bus capacitor. A regulation current can be supplied to/from the capacitor to control the DC bus voltage. As the capacitor

Fig. 4 Algorithm 1: FC local EMS

Fig. 5 Reference for \( i_{b_{ref}}(t) \)

Fig. 6 Reference for SOC

Fig. 7 Algorithm 2: batteries local EMS

Fig. 8 Algorithm 3: SCs local EMS

Fig. 9 Algorithm 4: braking resistor local EMS
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current depends on the balance shown in (1), and the DC bus capacitor has no power converter, this current has to be indirectly controlled.

To keep the DC bus voltage constant, \( i_{bus}(t) \) in (1) is set to zero. Then the UCs balance the current supplied by the sources and consumed by the loads. To increase/decrease the DC bus voltage, the UCs provide more/less current than required in this balance. This excess of current \( i_{bus}(t) \) is supplied by the DC bus capacitor and increases/decreases the DC bus voltage.

A proportional controller is used to determine the reference value for \( i_{bus}(t) \). The input of this controller is the difference between the DC bus voltage reference \( v_{busref} \) and measure \( v_{bus}(t) \).

![Fig. 10 Reference for \( i_{busref} \)](image)

**Result:** Define \( v_{busref} \)

read DC bus/pantograph parameters:

- \( R_{panto}, V_{line}, i_{busmax}, i_{bushigh} \)

read EMS parameters: \( SOC_{b}, I_{rech}, V_{high}, V_{low}, a_{min} \)

read measures: \( SOC_{b}, V_{bus}, a_{locos}, Pantograph_{ON} \)

if pantograph \( ON \) then

- if \( SOC_{b} > SOC_{bmin} \) then
  - \( v_{busref}(t) = V_{line} \)
- else
  - \( v_{busref}(t) = V_{line} - R_{panto} i_{rech} \)

else if \( a_{locos} \leq a_{min} \) then

- \( v_{busref}(t) = V_{high} \)
- else
  - \( v_{busref}(t) = V_{low} \)

\( i_{busref} = K_{bus}(V_{busref} - V_{bus}) \) \( i_{busref} = \max(i_{buslow}, \min(i_{busmax}, i_{busref}) \)

![Fig. 11 Algorithm 5: local DC bus capacitor EMS](image)

2.8.1 Mode pantograph ON: The pantograph is a secondary source that can be eventually used to supply power to recharge the batteries. The energy from the pantograph cannot be directly controlled. This is indirectly done by controlling the DC bus voltage, as explained before. If the DC bus voltage is lower than the pantograph voltage, the power flows from the network to the locomotive. If the DC bus voltage has the same magnitude as the pantograph, the flow of power will be zero.

If the batteries are charged, the DC bus voltage reference \( v_{busref} \) is set to the same value of the pantograph voltage \( V_{line} \). When the batteries are discharged, and their SOC goes beyond a low boundary \( SOC_{bmin} \), the DC bus voltage reference \( v_{busref} \) is set to a lower value, to consume power from the pantograph. In this paper, the pantograph supplies a constant current \( I_{rech} \).

2.8.2 Mode pantograph OFF: The DC bus capacitor can store a limited amount of energy during strong braking. When the train is in normal operation \( v_{busref} \) is set to a low value \( V_{low} \). This voltage should respect the restrictions of the DC bus.

When strong braking is detected, \( v_{busref} \) is set to a high value \( V_{high} \). This condition allows storing some of the braking energy in the capacitor. The DC bus voltage regulation current reference is computed using Algorithm 5 (see Fig. 11).

2.9 Dual-mode EMS and parameters

Fig. 12 illustrates the global EMS for the dual-mode locomotive. This strategy requires defining two sets of parameters. The first subset of parameters is related to the characteristics and constraints of sources, e.g. the maximal current. The second set of parameters is related to the local EMS for each source, e.g. the proportional controllers' gains.

The first subset has nine parameters: the maximal FC current \( I_{fcmax} \), the maximal change of the FC current in a computing period \( dI_{fcmax} \), the maximal batteries current \( I_{battmax} \), the minimal batteries current \( I_{battmin} \), the maximal SC current, the minimal SC current, the maximal locomotive speed \( Vel_{max} \), the pantograph resistance \( R_{panto} \), and the network voltage \( V_{line} \).

The subset related to the local EMS has 14 parameters: three for the local FC EMS, seven for the local DC bus EMS, three for the local batteries EMS, and the acceleration to identify strong braking \( a_{min} \).

The local FC EMS requires the proportional gain \( K_{fc} \), the minimal FC current \( I_{fcmin} \), and the batteries SOC reference \( SOC_{batref} \). The local batteries EMS requires the SC SOC reference at maximal locomotive speed \( SOC_{scVelmax} \), the SC SOC reference with or without pantograph, only one proportional controller with \( K_{bus} \) gain is implemented. This controller is illustrated in Fig. 10.
when the locomotive stopped $\text{SOC}_{\text{wlab}}$ and the proportional gain $K_p$.

The local DC bus EMS requires the maximal $I_{\text{bus, max}}$ and minimal $I_{\text{bus, min}}$ bus current, the constant pantograph current $I_{\text{ech}}$, the proportional gain $K_{\text{bus}}$, the batteries SOC reference for start pantograph recharge $\text{SOC}_{\text{bus,t}}$, and the voltage references for normal operation $V_{\text{low}}$ and strong braking without pantograph $V_{\text{high}}$.

2.10 EMS parameters tuning

For any load profile, an optimal set of EMS parameters should minimise the cost function presented in Section 2.2 and respect the constraints defined in Section 2.1. With all the EMS parameters listed, the next challenge is to identify their optimal values. Some authors use heuristic or analytical optimisation techniques to determine sets of optimal EMS parameters. These sets can be optimal for a determined profile but not optimal for every possible profile. This tuning process strongly depends on the problem specifications. However, optimising any EMS for these evaluation profiles does not guarantee optimally for an unknown scoring profile.

We propose in this paper a simple methodology to determine the EMS parameters. This methodology is inspired by the experimental method \cite{51, 52} and the Taguchi approach \cite{53}. It is a systematic approach to understand how EMS parameters affect the system dynamics and cost functions. The proposed method consists of evaluating in a feasible space of solutions several sets of parameters.

The main set of parameters $W_{\text{EMS}}$ is composed of subsets of feasible solutions for each parameter

$$W_{\text{EMS}} = \{w_1, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_n\}$$

Each parameter subset $w_i$ is composed of $x_i$ levels (feasible solutions). These subsets are defined using the following methodology.

First, boundaries for each of the EMS parameters are defined. It is necessary to carefully select lower and higher limits ($w_{\text{low}}$ and $w_{\text{high}}$) to generate a feasible search space.

Then the $x_i$ levels for each parameter are determined. This number could be different for each parameter. The subsets with feasible values are generated as illustrated below

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Constraints}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline
Parameter (constraints) & Value \\
\hline
FC maximal current & 1400 A \\
FC maximal current rate change & 50 A/s \\
FCs maximal power & 500 kW \\
batteries maximal SOC & 1 \\
batteries minimal SOC & 0.2 \\
batteries maximal current (discharge) & 3200 A \\
batteries minimal current (charge) & 800 A \\
FCs maximal power & 2 MW \\
SC maximal SOC & 1 \\
SC minimal SOC & 0.35 \\
SC maximal current (charge and discharge) & 1900 A \\
SC maximal power & 2 MW \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Results}
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline
Variables & Original EMS & New EMS \\
\hline
recovered braking energy, % & 91.5 & 99.8 \\
energy consumption reduction, % & 11.7 & 12.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

Finally, a full factorial experiment is performed. It is an exhaustive research of all the feasible combinations of levels for each parameter (solutions). This experiment requires $S_N$ simulations given by

$$S_N = \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_i$$

where $m$ is the total number of parameters.

The computational cost of this method could be prohibitive when the number of solutions increases. Simultaneous optimisation of all the parameters will exponentially increase the number of solutions. An alternative iterative algorithm is used to reduce the computational cost. The optimisable parameters are divided into two groups. In a first step, the FC local EMS and batteries local EMS are simultaneously optimised with the other parameters constant. After this, in a second step, the DC bus local EMS parameters are optimised, keeping the other parameters constant. This process is repeated until the best solution between successive iterations has no significant improvement. The output of this methodology can be considered as a set of near-optimal parameters for the proposed EMS.

3 Validation results

The proposed EMS is evaluated by simulations using the dualmode locomotive model, and speed and bus power profiles provided by the IEEE VTS Motor Vehicles Challenge 2019 organisers [1]. The problem constraints are presented in Table 1.

Following the rules and the IEEE VTS Motor Vehicles Challenge’s philosophy, the three profiles presented in [1] are used to tune the EMS. This tuning is performed following the methodology presented in Section 2.10. A fourth power profile, provided by the organisers of the IEEE VTS Motor Vehicles Challenge 2020, is used to evaluate the EMS. This profile was unknown to the challenge participants and is not used to tune the EMS.

The scoring profiles are illustrated in Fig. 13. All the solutions must respect the constraints in Table 2. Two study cases are presented, the two cases use the same sources model and power profiles, but they consider two different hydrogen consumption models illustrated in Fig. 14.
Fig. 15 IEEE VTS Motors Vehicles Challenge 2019 best solution

Each of the considered study cases has a specific purpose: the first evaluation aims to highlight the principal contributions of the EMS, compared with the EMS proposed in [46]. The second evaluation’s main objective is to demonstrate that the proposed parameters of the EMS. Fig. 15 presents the best results submitted for the first validation scenario, the cost function defined in [1] is used. The simulation results are compared with that proposed by Mendoza et al. [46]. These results are not optimal, but they were the best solution submitted to the IEEE VTS Motor Vehicles Challenge 2019.

The methodology in Section 2.10 is used to find a set of parameters of the EMS. Fig. 15 presents the best results obtained using the new EMS. The work presented in Jia et al. [54] proposes a rule-based EMS where the FC operates supplying high power all the time. This power is used to recharge the SC and batteries to their maximal SOC. In this strategy, the total cost is even negative −€4.69.
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Conclusion

This paper proposed an EMS to define power distribution references in a dual-mode locomotive equipped with a FC system, a SC system, batteries, a braking resistor, and intermittent access to a DC electrified overhead line. The solution proposed is a rule-based approach inspired on the Ragone plot. The proposed EMS is relatively simple and conceived to be intuitive and easy to implement. The paper also introduces a simple methodology to tune the EMS parameters. As illustrated with the two study cases, the parameters of the EMS strongly depend on the problem characteristics, such as the price of the electricity from the network or the hydrogen.

As the EMS has a low number of parameters, future research can focus on improving the tuning procedure, using optimisation techniques such as genetic algorithms. New research should also focus on including global positioning system information in the EMS: anticipating potential energy gains at negative slope profiles, the SC and batteries SOC references can be modified to enable recovering this energy. Even if the results are not optimal, this strategy is straightforward to implement and could be easily adapted to be used in other FC–UC–battery hybrid sources.

In the study case, up to 99.8% of the braking energy in a freight train can be recovered. This saving represents a 8.3% increase in the energy recovered and 1.1% of the total energy consumption, compared with the work presented in [46]. The global energy consumption reduction in these trains is estimated by 12.8% using UC. These results suggest that in applications with a higher frequency of start/stops like metro trains or tramways, this reduction could be even more than 30%. This demonstrates that using a well-designed EMS, the energy recovered during braking in embedded energy sources can be highly increased and almost eliminate the necessity to dissipate energy in braking resistors.
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